

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,877	01/31/2000	Zhigang Fang	34098/GTL/S61	4072	
24574	7590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO, LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR			JENKINS,	JENKINS, DANIEL J	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067		HILOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/494,877	FANG ET AL.	O		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
·	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,10-21,25-27,29,32-34,37 and 40 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7,10-21,25-27,29,32-34,37,40 and 6) ☐ Claim(s) 41 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 46 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accessory	vn from consideration. d 42 is/are allowed. r election requirement. r.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			` '		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	,		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/494,877

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Amendment and Remarks of 4/21/04. The Examiner finds that Applicant's Amendment frees most of the claims from the prior art. However, the Examiner finds that the limitation to arrangement of phases to be met in the prior art and maintains the rejection in regard to those claims.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation of the further ductile phase is unclear. It appears that the further ductile phase can be selected from two groups, a first group starting with Co and ending with Nb, alloys thereof, and a second group of "and alloys with materials selected from the group consisting of B, Cr, and Mn;". It is unclear why these two groups are listed separately. and why B, Cr, and Mn are not listed in the first group, unless there is a meaning to this particular language. Applicant should discuss and/or clarify this limitation.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fang et al. '382.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/494,877

Art Unit: 1742

Fang et al. '382 disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Fang et al. '382 disclose a cermet material comprising:

a WC hard phase; and

a binder phase of Fe-Ni-Co alloys (col. 7, line 8-13.

Fang et al. '382 disclose that the binder phase have a low coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of less than 8um/m-K.

Fang et al. further disclose a WC particle size of 1-15um (col. 9, lines 24-27).

Thus, Fang et al. '382 discloses that the CTE is a effective variable within the invention that is modified based on the desired toughness of the material.

Fang et al. '382 further disclose wherein the hard phase can comprise other carbides with the WC including TiC (col. 8, line 21-29) and can further include carbides, borides, nitrides, carbonitrides, carboborides, and mixtures thereof, such as those based on Ti (col. 8, lines 21-27).

Fang et al. '382 further disclose that the material be used in forming a cutting element. The determination of the ratio of the binder phase materials would be determined by one of ordinary skill through routine experimentation based on the specific selection of hard phase material and the desired toughness of the final material.

The binder material being in alloy form inherently meets the limitation of an ordered structure.

6. Claim 46 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,877

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

The prior art not disclosing or rendering obvious the binder material being iron based.

7. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-21, 25-27, 29, 32-34, 37, 40 and 42 are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Daniel J. Jerkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dį